HUMAN LAW PUBLISHING

The Out-of-Court Executor

How to Defuse Family Disputes, Protect Yourself, and Settle the Estate

Justin Patten, Solicitor

CONTENTS AND SAMPLE CHAPTER

Chapter 2: The Executor’s Concern Curve

Copyright © 2026 Justin Patten. All rights reserved.

Sample edition. Re-typeset from the final manuscript; sample page numbers differ from the published book.

General information for England and Wales. Not advice on an individual estate. Law and procedure stated as at 1 September 2026.

Contents

PREFACE

INTRODUCTION

PART 1: UNDERSTANDING PROBATE FRICTION

Chapter 1: Understanding and Resolving Probate Friction

Chapter 2: The Executor’s Concern Curve

Chapter 3: Distinguishing Administration from Litigation

PART 2: THE DIAGNOSTIC TOOLKIT

Chapter 4: The Six Pillars

Chapter 5: The Caveat Pathway

Chapter 6: Beyond the Caveat

PART 3: RESOLUTION STRATEGY

Chapter 7: Executor Negotiation

Chapter 8: The Limits and Uses of Advocacy

PART 4: MASTERY AND CERTAINTY

Chapter 9: Predictability in Estate Disputes

Chapter 10: Using Artificial Intelligence Responsibly

Chapter 11: Becoming the Out-of-Court Executor

Appendix A: Statutory Clocks & Timers Table

Appendix B: Diagnostic FAQs: Quick Concern Check

Appendix C: Mediation & ADR Diagnostic Filter

Appendix D1: Monthly Carrying-Cost Ledger

Appendix D2: Estate Position at a Glance

Appendix E: Caveat Activity and Contentious Caseloads

Appendix F: Principal Legislation and Procedural Rules

Appendix G: Specialist Referral Handover Checklist

Appendix H: Case References and Notes

Glossary of Probate Terms

Chapter 2: The Executor’s Concern Curve: How Uncertainty Creates Pressure Long Before Any Legal Threat Exists

Executors rarely expect conflict when they begin their role. They anticipate paperwork, administrative responsibility, and a period of steady distribution. Yet when friction appears - an unexpected caveat, a hostile email from a family member, or a co-executor refusing to cooperate - the emotional impact is immediate. Executors routinely describe the experience as sudden, disorientating, and far more stressful than anticipated.

This reaction is not a sign of weakness; it is a predictable response to uncertainty. Probate friction creates acute pressure because executors are responsible for an estate they did not create, governed by duties they did not design, inside a procedural system they were never taught. The concern curve begins long before any genuine legal threat exists.

1. The Executor’s Position Is Structurally Vulnerable

Executors carry personal legal responsibility without institutional protections or formal training. When friction arises, they find themselves caught between competing demands:

Beneficiaries demanding immediate interim distributions.

Co-executors refusing to sign documentation or disclose accounts.

Formal, defensive correspondence from contentious probate solicitors.

Warnings regarding personal liability (devastavit) without an explanation of what the legal standard actually requires.

This vulnerability makes every procedural objection feel like an existential threat. When friction starts, the executor assumes that family anger translates directly into catastrophic personal exposure.

2. Defining the Core Duties: What the Law Actually Requires

Much of the concern curve stems from misunderstanding an executor’s statutory obligations. In England and Wales, these duties are defined by statute (Administration of Estates Act 1925 and Trustee Act 2000) and settled principles governing personal representatives. They fall into two distinct categories:

Preservation and Neutrality Obligations

The Duty to Collect and Preserve (section 25 of the Administration of Estates Act 1925 (AEA 1925)): The executor must identify, secure, and protect estate assets (e.g., insuring vacant property, securing accounts, and calling in debts). Executors are not required to generate commercial investment yields; their fundamental duty is capital preservation.

The Duty of Impartiality: An executor must remain strictly neutral between beneficiaries. You cannot prefer one beneficiary over another, take sides in family grievances, or allow personal feelings to dictate administration.

The Duty to Account (s.25(b) AEA 1925): Executors must maintain clear, accurate records of all assets, liabilities, receipts, and payments, ready to produce a formal inventory and account upon lawful request.

Statutory Protective Buffers

The "Executor’s Year" (s.44 AEA 1925): The law recognises that estate administration takes time. Under section 44, an executor cannot be compelled to distribute estate assets before the expiration of one year from the date of death.

The executor’s year is not an absolute bar to requesting information or accounts, nor an automatic entitlement to a court order for inventory and account on demand. Timing, the nature of the beneficiary’s interest, the progress of administration and any evidence of mismanagement are material.

The Standard of Care and Devastavit: Personal representatives may face liability where breach of duty causes loss, including through improper distribution, wrongful dealing with assets or unreasonable failure to protect the estate. A measured pause may be defensible, but its purpose, duration, reasonableness and financial effect should be recorded and reviewed.

Understanding these boundaries transforms an amorphous fear of "doing something wrong" into a defined, manageable statutory checklist.

3. Emotional Noise Arrives Before Facts

Most probate friction begins with emotion rather than evidence. Executors are frequently flooded with extended correspondence detailing historical grievances, unsubstantiated suspicions regarding testamentary capacity or undue influence, claims of moral unfairness, and vague threats of court action.

These communications may feel urgent and intimidating, but they do not by themselves change the legal or procedural position. Allegations remain procedural noise until substantiated by sworn statements, medical evidence, or formal legal steps. Mistaking emotional intensity for legal validity is the single largest driver of unnecessary fear.

4. Professional Correspondence Can Be Adversarial

Solicitor letters, even when entirely routine, employ defensive and formal phrasing. Formulations such as "our client is deeply concerned," "we reserve all rights," or "failure to comply within seven days will leave our client no alternative" may be used to preserve a client's position, although tone should not be mistaken for proof that legal proceedings are imminent.

Executors often misinterpret these letters as notices of an imminent trial. In practice, they are exploratory letters designed to assert a beneficiary’s position or request information. The executor’s anxiety surges not because a claim has been substantiated, but because formal legal terminology feels inherently threatening.

5. Delay Feels Dangerous - Even When It Is Not

Executors frequently worry that any pause in administration constitutes actionable delay. This fear often leads personal representatives to freeze entirely or make premature concessions.

In practice:

Routine administrative checks, statutory time periods, and reasonable investigations do not constitute unlawful delay.

The system expects executors to verify disputed points before releasing funds.

Statutory response periods provide structured timelines within which defined procedural steps can be taken.

Pausing to deploy administrative mechanisms is not inaction; it is responsible estate administration.

6. The True Pressure Point: The Tax Clock and Estate Carrying Costs

A stalled estate runs on two clocks: a procedural clock and a financial clock. The procedural clock determines what can happen next. The financial clock measures what waiting costs. A careful executor watches both.

The financial clock matters because delay is not abstract. Interest, insurance, council tax, utilities, security, maintenance and professional costs may continue even while the underlying disagreement remains unresolved. Quantifying those costs turns delay into a decision-making fact rather than an emotional argument.

Financial Engine	Statutory / Commercial Rule	Impact on the Estate
The HMRC IHT Clock	Section 226 Inheritance Tax Act 1984	Inheritance Tax is due 6 months after the end of the month of death. HMRC does not pause this clock for caveats or family disputes; late-payment interest can continue to accrue. Check the current HMRC rate and the estate's actual liability.
Unoccupied Property Costs	Commercial insurers and local authorities	Vacant-property insurance, council tax, security, inspections and utilities can continue while administration is stalled. The amount depends on the property and local authority.
Asset and Administration Drag	Commercial holding costs	Maintenance, storage, finance costs and professional fees may continue. Some assets may also deteriorate or become harder to realise while decisions are delayed.

Carrying-Cost Arithmetic as a Decision Tool

The purpose of a carrying-cost schedule is not to pressure beneficiaries into settlement. It is to show transparently what continued delay is costing the estate and to allow each proposed course to be assessed against that cost. See the companion worksheets in Appendices D1 and D2.

An executor should present carrying costs neutrally, with supporting figures and assumptions. The schedule is a decision aid, not a threat to beneficiaries or a means of coercing agreement; costs budgeting and the executor’s impartiality remain important.

Illustrative example: if verified recurring costs total £1,800 per month, a further six months of deadlock removes £10,800 from the estate before any additional professional fees or changes in asset value are considered. The figures should come from the particular estate; the example is not a typical or assumed cost level.

Once the arithmetic is visible, the executor can compare the cost of waiting with the cost and likely value of a procedural step, focused advice, negotiation or ADR. The schedule does not

decide the legal merits. It makes the economic consequence of inaction visible.

7. Family Dynamics Amplify Pressure

Probate friction rarely arises in a vacuum; it is almost always a continuation of long-standing family friction among siblings, step-parents, or blended family members. Executors often carry a double burden: trying to preserve family relationships while fulfilling strict legal duties.

An executor is neither a mediator nor a family counsellor. Attempting to satisfy competing emotional demands invariably creates friction. Maintaining executor impartiality helps executors establish clear boundaries while continuing to consider properly supported concerns.

8. The Gap Between Perceived Threat and Actual Risk

The concern curve is driven entirely by the divergence between an executor's assumptions and procedural reality:

Perceived Threat	Procedural Reality
Any hostile solicitor letter means imminent High Court litigation.	A hostile solicitor letter does not itself commence legal proceedings. Check the actual procedural position, any stated deadline and whether a formal application or claim has been issued.
Emotional allegations carry legal weight.	Allegations should not determine the administration merely because they are asserted. Their significance depends on the supporting evidence, the legal issue and any need for investigation or advice.
Any pause exposes the executor to personal liability.	The law provides the "Executor’s Year" (s.44 AEA 1925) and statutory protective buffers.
Stalling the estate harms only the disputing parties.	Delay may result in HMRC interest and continuing property costs that erode the residue.
The executor must resolve the family's personal disputes.	The executor's role is the neutral and lawful administration of the estate, not resolution of the family's personal disputes.

9. How System Literacy Reduces Concern

Executors do not need to become lawyers to improve their control of probate friction. They do need system literacy: enough understanding to distinguish administration from dispute, organise the relevant information, recognise the available procedural route and identify when specialist advice is required. In practical terms, system literacy means understanding:

What probate rules actually require versus what unhappy beneficiaries demand.

How statutory clocks force opposing parties to substantiate claims or forfeit standing.

How evidential thresholds filter out unevidenced allegations.

How verified carrying-cost schedules make the financial consequences of delay visible.

How documented impartiality can reduce personal costs exposure.

Once an executor understands these mechanics, the concern curve flattens. Emotional noise is separated from procedural facts, and the executor shifts from reactive anxiety to measured administrative momentum.

Insight

The executor’s mindset is one of steady error reduction. When accusations arrive, the task is to check the facts, preserve the record and choose a proportionate response. Remaining calm can be difficult when criticism feels personal. Allow time before answering, correct genuine mistakes promptly and seek advice when the consequences exceed your understanding. Calmness is a working discipline, not an absence of feeling.

Executor Takeaways

Separate allegation from evidence: before replying to a threatening letter, extract the actual allegation, supporting material and any deadline requiring action.

Record why any pause is necessary, what will happen during it and when progress will be reviewed.

Carrying costs make delay measurable: use verified estate costs to compare the available next steps, and invite corrections to the figures.

Continue reading

Explore the complete handbook and its supporting worksheets on the book page.

human-law.co.uk/the-out-of-court-executor

Buy on Amazon